

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/687,606		10/11/2000	Enrique J. Klein	020460 000710US	2574
20350	7590	06/10/2004		EXAMINER	
		TOWNSEND AND	STEWART, ALVIN J		
EIGHTH FL		RO CENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANC	CISCO, C	CA 94111-3834	3738		

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/687,606	KLEIN, ENRIQUE J.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Alvin J Stewart	3738					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a in within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON and the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. JTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M	<u>arch 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims							
• 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 8-19</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)[\times	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 8-19</u> is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ſ.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10/11/00</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been	received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau							
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not	received.					
Attachment								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	•	ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
3) Inform Paper	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/687,606

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-4, 8-12, 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lam et al US Patent 5,725,572.

Lam et al discloses a stent comprising a cylindrical frame having a distal end (38), a proximal end (36), a midsection therebetween and an outer surface. The distal end and the proximal end having a radial thickness greater than a radial thickness of the midsection. The proximal and distal ends are covered by a coating of radiopaque material and the radiopaque material makes the ends thicker.

Regarding claim 2, see col. 2, lines 31-34.

Regarding claim 3, see col. 2, lines 17-24.

Regarding claims 11-12 and 17-18, a comparison of the recited process with the prior art processes does NOT serve to resolve the issue concerning patentability of the product. <u>In re</u> <u>Fessman</u>, 489 F2d 742, 180 U.S.P.Q. 324 (CCPA 1974). Whether a product is patentable depends on wether it is known in the art or it is obvious, and is not governed by wether the process by which it is made is patentable. <u>In re Klug</u>, 333 F2d 905, 142 U.S.P.Q. 161 (CCPA

Application/Control Number: 09/687,606

Art Unit: 3738

1964). In an ex parte case, product-by-process claims are not construed as being limited to the product formed by the specific process recited. In re Hirao et al., 535 F2d 67, 190 U.S.P.Q. 15, see footnote 3 (CCPA 1976).

Claims 1, 8-10, 13-16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Limon et al US Patent 6,027,526.

Limon et al discloses a stent comprising a cylindrical frame having a distal end (38), a proximal end (36), a midsection therebetween and an outer surface. The distal end and the proximal end having a radial thickness greater than a radial thickness of the midsection (see Figs. 2, 3, 15 and 16).

Regarding claim 8, the first ring, the second ring and the midsection are separated one to the other (see Figs. 2-5, 9 and 12-13).

Regarding claims 10 and 16, as shown in Figs. 15 and 16, the proximal end and the distal end are more radiopaque than the rest of the stent because they are thicker. Therefore, the radiopacity is higher at each end.

Regarding claims 13 and 19, the whole stent is made of metal and under fluoroscopy the first and the second ends are radiolucent. Therefore, the ends are formed in part of radiolucent material.

The claims disclosed above are given their broadest reasonable interpretation. In re Pearson, 181 USPQ 641 (CCPA 1974).

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/687,606

Art Unit: 3738

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J Stewart whose telephone number is 703-305-0277. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM-5:30PM(1 Friday B-week off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3738

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alvin Stewart

June 7, 2004.